

SPEECH PRODUCTION IN RESPONSE TO MULTIPLE PERTURBATIONS OF AUDITORY FEEDBACK

Jinyu LI, Leonardo Lancia

Laboratoire de Phonétique et Phonologie, UMR 7018, CNRS-Paris 3/Sorbonne Nouvelle, France jinyu.li@sorbonne-nouvelle.fr, leonardo.lancia@sorbonne-nouvelle.fr



Background Speakers monitor their production by comparing constantly the results of their motor commands (used as auditory feedback) with the sound they predicted. But the processing of auditory feedback is condition dependent and speaker dependent:

In general, speakers compensate for perturbations of their auditory feedback because they perceived the discrepancy between the intended and the perceived sounds (e.g.[1]).

However, some speakers sometimes follow the perturbations instead of compensating for them (e.g.[2]).

Objective In order to better understand the source of the variability of speakers' response to perturbations of auditory feedback, we investigated the interactive effects of Delayed Auditory Feedback (DAF) and consistent F0 shift of auditory feedback on the production of the speakers who produced utterances composed of syllables varying in complexity. The consistent F0 shift of auditory feedback made the speakers perceive their voice as being different to their usual voice but nevertheless predictable.

Method

Participants: 20 French female native speakers

MATLAB program Speaker Sound card

The speakers' manipulated voices were played back in real 🥉 time through earphones.

Training session: DAF = 120 msTest trials

Baseline trials with no perturbations

Step1:

- Analyses & Results
- COMPARING the mean F0 of the accented vowels obtained in the Baseline trials with that obtained with no DAF during the test trials separately for speakers of the two groups. We focused our analyses on the accented vowels, because it was shown that accented vowels could be more sensitive to the perturbations of auditory feedback (e.g.[3]).

RESULT: In average the speakers trended to follow the F0 shift



Procedure:

Group 1 : DAF: 0, 60, 120 ms F0 (positive shift): o, 1, 2 semitones

Group 2 : DAF: 0, 60, 120 ms F0 (negative shift) : o, 1, 2 semitones

The speakers were asked to repeat several times (organized in blocs of six repetitions) three French sentences with random perturbation combinations for each repetition.

Stimuli:

- **For training:** the French text "*La bise et le soleil"*
- For Baseline and test trials: three French sentences differed in syllabic complexity:
 - CV: /vivjẽ vi lə vẽ/
 - CVC: /Jaklin JER 19 JUR/
 - CCA(C): \pragle pris l9 pra\

Discussion & Conclusion

When the F0 of auditory feedback was continuously

F0 shift (semitone)

- Step2:
- RUNNING speaker specific linear regressions with the same model specification and REMOVING speakers for which the effect of the F0 shift went in the opposite direction with respect to the group (2 speakers from group1 and 3 speakers from group2) in order to further investigate the responses of the speakers who followed the FØ shift to perturbations.

Step3:

• TESTING the effects of DAF, FØ shift, syllabic complexity and that of their triple interaction on accented vowels' duration, on their average F0 and on variability of spectral change patterns (as captured by the mean absolute deviation of the average squared change of Mel-Frequency Cepstral Coefficients trajectories [MFCCs]).

RESULTS:

Duration



The lengthening of syllables was the most important effect of DAF on speakers' production. The degree of DAF was positively correlated with the duration of the accented vowels for the two groups.

perturbed in the same direction during connected speech production, speakers tended to follow the perturbation.

- According the interactive alignment model ([4]), this is an expected consequence of the predictability of the FØ shift and of the presence of simultaneous perturbations.
- Due to these manipulations, the sensorimotor system may adapt its functioning to the heard sounds as it happens when processing the sound another speaker's voice. This adaptation should result in a form of phonetic convergence. Therefore, we should observe an interaction between the effects of F0 shift and DAF on speech production.
- The variability in the production of segmental material was related to DAF and syllabic complexity.
- However, no direct interactive effects between FØ shift and DAF on speakers' production was observed. Further work is required in order to compare the behavior of the speakers analyzed in this study to that of a group of speakers who are exposed only to DAF.



A small delay in auditory feedback (6oms) reduced significantly the variability of the spectral change patterns. This variability increased with higher syllabic complexity.



FØ shift (semitone)

Ce	1.	Burnett, T. A., Freedland, M. B., Larson, C. R., & Hain, T. C. (1998). Voice F0 responses to manipulations in pitch feedback. <i>JASA</i> , 103(6), 3153–3161.
'en	2.	Behroozmand, R., Korzyukov, O., Sattler, L., & Larson, C. R. (2012). Opposing and following vocal responses to pitch- shifted auditory feedback: Evidence for different mechanisms of voice pitch control. JASA, 132(4), 2468–2477.
iJei	3.	Kalveram, K. T., & Jäncke, L. (1989). Vowel duration and voice onset time for stressed and nonstressed syllables in stutterers under delayed auditory feedback condition. <i>Folia Phoniatrica</i> , 41(1), 30–42.
 X	4.	Pickering, M. J., & Garrod, S. (2013). An integrated theory of language production and comprehension. <i>Behavioral and Brain Sciences</i> , 36(4), 329–347.