Lena Pagel, Simon Roessig & Doris Mücke

IfL Phonetics, University of Cologne corresp.: lena.pagel@uni-koeln.de

12th International Seminar on Speech Production, 14 - 18 December 2020

MODIFICATIONS OF TONGUE BODY KINEMATICS AS A PROMINENCE MARKING STRATEGY IN GERMAN

INTRODUCTION

- enhancing **prominence** of parts of an utterance can signal information structure [1]
- achieved by pitch-accent placement (laryngeal system) as well as modifications of articulator movements (supralaryngeal system, \rightarrow prosodic strengthening) [2; 3]

strategy of **sonority expansion**: greater opening of the vocal tract [4]

prosodic strengthening

strategy of **localised hyperarticulation**: more distinct vocalic targets [5]

- can be observed as a concomitant of accentuation (here: across-accentuation) but also between accented words (here: within-accentuation) → articulatory cues encode varying **degrees of prominence** [6]
- prosodic strengthening has been shown in English for the tongue only across-accentuation [2; 5; 7] and in German across and withinaccentuation only for the lip system [6; 8]
 - How are tongue body kinematics modified when marking prominence in German?
- Can the modifications be observed across-accentuation or also within-accentuation?

METHODS

- acoustic & articulatory recordings (Electromagnetic Articulography) of 27 native speakers of German
- target words with /a/ or /o/ in the stressed syllable (e.g. Wahwe, *Bohme*), embedded in carrier sentences
- four focus conditions as increasing degrees of prominence
- elicitation through an interactive question-answer task (cf. below)
- analysis of tongue body movements during the target vowels /a/ and /o/ on the vertical and horizontal movement dimension:
 - **extremum position** (or vocalic target), mean position within first 50% of the acoustic vowel, peak velocity of the gesture

Ð



• comparison of normalised measures between four focus types

background

Q. Hat er den Bohrer auf die Wahwe gelegt? Did he put the drill on the wahwe?

broad focus

Q. Was hat er gemacht? What did he do?

window

E

S

0

narrow focus

Q. Wo hat er den Hammer hingelegt? Where did he put the hammer?

contrastive focus

Q. Hat er den Hammer auf die Bohme gelegt? Did he put the hammer on the bohme?

A. Er hat [den Hammer]_F auf die <u>Wahwe</u> gelegt .	A. Er hat [den Hammer auf die <u>Wahwe</u> gelegt] _F .	Α.	Er hat den Hammer [auf die <u>Wahwe]</u>_F gelegt .	A. Er hat den Hammer auf die [<u>Wahwe</u>]_F gelegt .	
He put [the hammer]_F on the <u>wahwe</u> .	He [put the hammer on the <u>wahwe</u>]_F.		He put the hammer [on the <u>wahwe</u>]_F.	He put the hammer on the [<u>wahwe</u>]_F.	

unaccented

accented

RESULTS

position

extren

- lower targets in low vowel /a/ and close-mid vowel /o/, retracted targets in back vowel /o/ under prominence → associated with **sonority expansion** and localised hyperarticulation
- gradient changes between focus types



averaged trajectory around extremum

- mean tongue positions in the selected window (first 50% of the acoustic vowel) also show **lower tongue** in /a/ and /o/ and **retracted tongue** in /o/ under prominence
- gradient changes between focus types



mean position in selected window

- absolute values show higher peak vecity **locities** (i.e. faster movements) in /a/ and /o/ in the vertical and horizontal dimension under prominence
 - trend towards gradient changes between focus types

mean abs. peak velocity of gesture



1000				раск		-1 - Da	CK	
normalised time				normalised time				
focus c	ondition	— background	— t	broad	– narro	ow –	- contras	tive

DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION

Modifications include more extreme tongue positions in relevant articulatory dimensions and increased peak velocities. Speakers simultaneously apply the strategies of sonority expansion and localised hyperarticulation.



prosodic strengthening by means of the tongue body in German

Gradient modifications occur between all four focus types and therefore across as well as within-accentuation. Supralaryngeal changes are not exclusively a means to indicate accent but rather a **direct expression** of focus structure.



emphasis on gradient nature of prominence marking

REFERENCES

[1] Lambrecht, K. (1994). Information Structure and Sentence Form: Topic, Focus, and the Mental Representations of Discourse Referents. Cambridge University Press. [2] Cho, T. (2002). The Effects of Prosody on Articulation in English. Routledge. [3] Roessig, S., Mücke, D., & Pagel, L. (2019). Dimensions of prosodic prominence in an attractor model. Proceedings of Interspeech, 15-19 September, Graz, Austria (pp. 2533-2537). [4] Beckman, M. E., Edwards, J., & Fletcher, J. (1992). Prosodic structure and tempo in a sonority model of articulatory dynamics. In G. J. Docherty & D. R. Ladd (Eds.), Gesture, Segment, Prosody (pp. 68-89). Cambridge University Press. [5] de Jong, K. (1995). The supraglottal articulation of prominence in English: Linguistic stress as localized hyperarticulation. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 97(1), 491-504. [6] Mücke, D. & Grice, M. (2014). The effect of focus marking on supralaryngeal articulation: Is it mediated by accentuation? Journal of Phonetics, 44(1), 47-61. [7] Harrington, J., Fletcher, J., & Beckman, M. E. (2000). Manner and place conflicts in the articulation of accent in Australian English. In M. B. Broe & J. B. Pierrehumbert (Eds.), Acquisition and the Lexicon (pp. 40.51). Cambridge University Press. [8] Hermes, A., Becker, J., Mücke, D., Baumann, S., & Grice, M. (2008). Articulatory Gestures and Focus Marking in German. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Speech Prosody, 6-9 May, Campinas, Brazil (pp. 457-460).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The presented work was carried out within the project A4 of the SFB 1252 Prominence in Language.

